G. R. S. 59]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1907.
(Mulgrai)

File No. 318

Serial Nos. 1—8

Papers Filed.

Month July 1910.

SUBJECT.

Petition from Palegar Madakari Naik bringing notice certain irregularities connected with the temple of Sri Huchangiamma Devaru in the Chitaldrug town.

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.	LATER REFERENCES.

G.R.S.11] 1909.10

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Kanara)

NOTES.

File No. 318-07

FILE HEADING.

Petition from Palegar Madakara Nack bringing to notice certain irregularities Connected with Sri Ntsarvamkra Devare, Hudrangamma Devaru) temple at Chitaldrug.

From

Palegar Madakara Nack.

No. Petition Dated 4-11-(90) Received

Diary No. 1971	Enclos. -	Spare Copies -	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Sl 2. To, The Dy. Commr, Chitaldrug Dstt
Docket no 2315 Dy 13.11.07
Forwards Sl 1 for report
To, Madakara Nack.
Lr no 2316 Dy 13.11.07

NOTES—First sheet

GPB—30,000—3-1-07

1]
and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 318 2166 of 1907

Serial No.	
	Intimates the above
3.	From, the Dy. Commr, Chitaldrug Dist. Letter no. 434 Dy 19th May 1910 submits report on the subject
(Paper under appeal)	The Dy Commr's. report is exhaustive.
	As for the petrs. complaint that the properties of the temple have not been inspected since 1895, the Dy. Commr reports that orders have been issued for an annual inspection of the temple.
(Hag 3)	
	As for the complaint that the temple property stolen in 1905 has not been returned to the temple, the Dy. Commr had been requested
(Hag 4)	to submit a final report on the subject. He now states that the culprits could not be traced; that out of the properties recovered, 16 articles which were proved to belong to the temple, were returned to the Archak, that the loss sustained by the temple amounts to Rs 1030/- and that the Archak has been directed to make good the loss, on pain of removal from office

NOTE.—Contn. sheet.

BPG—55,000—27-4-09

12]
1 and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 318 of 1907

Serial No.

and recommends separate pensions for the place

As regards the complaint that the allowances of the temple viz annual cash grant of Rs 7-4-0 have been withheld, the Dy. Commr states that this is due to the negligence of the Archak who did not claim the amounts and recommends that as the Puje is being properly done, the allowances may be ordered to be disbursed every month, as was the case prior to the theft

As regards the complaint that much inconvenience is caused by the location of the Sub Registry office in the up-stairs of the Mahadwara of the Temple, the Dy. Commr reports that this office has since been removed elsewhere.

A printed copy of G.O No 5160 dy 3rd November 1892 relating to the recovery from the temple servants of the loss sustained by the temple is herewith submitted

17 X

Submitted

NOII—Contn. sheet.

BPG—55,000—27 4 09

MW 5/10

Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 2166 of 190

Serial No.	
63.	Draft Submitted to Second Councillor Ambatturaja 6/7/1910 Draft approved by the 2d councillor - Issue. RL 11/7/10 Issue 13/7/1910

BPG—55,000—27-4-09

A.V.

In favour of ears report

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
1971
MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

7.11.71

(3).

P.D. Nadkarni

2. 11 07

Muz. 1] Jud 1- 318-07

190

From

To

The Dy Commr
Chitaldrug Distt

Dated

Despatched 13/11/07

Received

General No. 9314

No.

Enclosure No. one.

Has the honour To -
forward herewith for favr
of early enquiry and details
report, petition registered -
no 1941 dated 4th November
1907,
from Palegar Madakari Naik
of Chitaldrug bringing To
notice certain irregularities
connected with the temple of
Sri Ekasarambadevate in
the Chitaldrug town

By order,

C/9816 GPL—3,000—19-9-07 DH 12/11/07

Encl To the 1st Asst Secretary

9/11

Mus I A]

190

From

To

The Dy Commissioner,
Chittagong District

Dated

Despatched 18 3 05

Received

General No. 4101

No.

Enclosure No.

In continuation of this office docket no 2315 dated 13th November 1904, has the honr to forward herewith another petition registered no 2536 dated 14th December 1904 from B D Mahakari Nath complaining of certain irregularities in the temple of Sri Sri Sarvamba Devate in the Chittagong town and to request that the detailed report already called for in

the subject Sunday Kinsley
has submitted at an early
date

By order

Secretary

Encl: To h.o. for file W 5/28/08

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
3434
24/2/07
MUZRAI SECRETARIAT
24/2/07

This may be filed.

1.

2.

3.

4. ಕಬ್ಬಿಣ ಆಕ್ಸೈಡಿನಿಂದ ಬಣ್ಣವನ್ನು ಪಡೆಯುವ ಪ್ರಕ್ರಿಯೆಯನ್ನು ಆಕ್ಸೈಡ್ ಪ್ರಕ್ರಿಯೆ ಎಂದು ಕರೆಯಲಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಈ ಪ್ರಕ್ರಿಯೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕಬ್ಬಿಣದ ಆಕ್ಸೈಡ್ ಅನ್ನು ಬಣ್ಣವನ್ನಾಗಿ ಬಳಸಲಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಈ ಪ್ರಕ್ರಿಯೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕಬ್ಬಿಣದ ಆಕ್ಸೈಡ್ ಅನ್ನು ಬಣ್ಣವನ್ನಾಗಿ ಬಳಸಲಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಈ ಪ್ರಕ್ರಿಯೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕಬ್ಬಿಣದ ಆಕ್ಸೈಡ್ ಅನ್ನು ಬಣ್ಣವನ್ನಾಗಿ ಬಳಸಲಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಈ ಪ್ರಕ್ರಿಯೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕಬ್ಬಿಣದ ಆಕ್ಸೈಡ್ ಅನ್ನು ಬಣ್ಣವನ್ನಾಗಿ ಬಳಸಲಾಗುತ್ತದೆ.

5. ಮೇಲ್ಮೈ ಸಿದ್ಧತೆಯನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕು, ಏಕೆಂದರೆ ಮೇಲ್ಮೈ ಸಿದ್ಧತೆಯು ಮೇಲ್ಮೈಯಲ್ಲಿ ಬಣ್ಣವನ್ನು ಅನ್ವಯಿಸಲು ಸಿದ್ಧವಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ಖಚಿತಪಡಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತದೆ. ಈ ಪ್ರಕ್ರಿಯೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಮೇಲ್ಮೈಯಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಯಾವುದೇ ಕೊಳಕು, ಧೂಳು ಅಥವಾ ಗ್ರೀಸ್ ಅನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಹಾಕಲಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಮೇಲ್ಮೈಯಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಯಾವುದೇ ಕೊಳಕು, ಧೂಳು ಅಥವಾ ಗ್ರೀಸ್ ಅನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಹಾಕಲಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಮೇಲ್ಮೈಯಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಯಾವುದೇ ಕೊಳಕು, ಧೂಳು ಅಥವಾ ಗ್ರೀಸ್ ಅನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಹಾಕಲಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಮೇಲ್ಮೈಯಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಯಾವುದೇ ಕೊಳಕು, ಧೂಳು ಅಥವಾ ಗ್ರೀಸ್ ಅನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಹಾಕಲಾಗುತ್ತದೆ.

6. ಈ ಪ್ರಕ್ರಿಯೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಮೇಲ್ಮೈಯಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಯಾವುದೇ ಕೊಳಕು, ಧೂಳು ಅಥವಾ ಗ್ರೀಸ್ ಅನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಹಾಕಲಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಮೇಲ್ಮೈಯಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಯಾವುದೇ ಕೊಳಕು, ಧೂಳು ಅಥವಾ ಗ್ರೀಸ್ ಅನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಹಾಕಲಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಮೇಲ್ಮೈಯಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಯಾವುದೇ ಕೊಳಕು, ಧೂಳು ಅಥವಾ ಗ್ರೀಸ್ ಅನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಹಾಕಲಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಮೇಲ್ಮೈಯಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಯಾವುದೇ ಕೊಳಕು, ಧೂಳು ಅಥವಾ ಗ್ರೀಸ್ ಅನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಹಾಕಲಾಗುತ್ತದೆ.

[illegible]

B.D. Madakari Naik

23. 2. 08
[illegible]

Muz. 1]

11/4

190 S.A 6

From

To

The Dy commr

Chitaldrug Dist

Dated

Despatched 15/4/1908

Received

General No. 4485 - 4486.

No. 17-73

Enclosure No. /

Has the honor to forward herewith for favor of enquiry and report. petition recd no 3430 Dy 24th February 08 from B D Madakam Nair and another Complaining that ~~as~~ the Sub Registrar's office is held in the Sri Huchrangamma Devasm temple of the at Chitaldrug. ~~the latter~~ detriment of the Complt ~~is rendered untidy and~~

G P L—3,000- 19-9-07

praying that it may
be shifted elsewhere.

By order

13/4/08

Secretary.

28/4/08

Sent to the Petr.

D 21668

1909-10

From The Deputy Commissioner
Civil and Sessions Judge

To The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Municipal Department

Dated 19th } Bangalore
Despatched 21st } May 1910.
Received

General No. 11...
No.

Enclosure No. ...

Submitting a detailed report on the petition of Pleader Mallikarjunaiah of Chitaldrug praying for ... Rs. 2315-8-13-11 ... Chitaldrug ... Municipality ... Chitaldrug ...

1/11
Communicate
24/5/10
23/5/10

Office

Chitaldroog Dist

Dated Chitaldroog, 19 May

From

M. Chengiah Chetty Esquire B. A.,

Deputy Commissioner,

CHITALDROOG.

To

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer Esquire B. A.,

Officiating Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Muzerai Department,

BANGALORE.

Sir,

With reference to your docket Nos. 2315 dated 13th November 07 and No. 4485 dated 15-4-08 forwarding for report the petition of Polegar Medikeri Naik of Chitaldroog bringing to notice some irregularities in connection with the Sri Utsavamba temple at Chitaldroog, I have the honor to state that the irregularities complained of are noted below:-

One of the complaints is that the jewels and other belongings of the temple have not been inspected since 1875. The Amildar has since inspected all the property in the presence of th petitioner and other panchayetdars on 26-1-08 and a list of properties has been drawn up which is herein enclosed for reference. Orders have also been given to inspect the temple property once a year at least. There is therefore nothing more to be done in the matter.

(2) The next complaint is that the temple property stolen i 05 has not been returned for use in the temple. With reference to this, I may state that 31 articles as noted in the list ~~appended hereto~~ produced, were produced by the Police before Mr. T. Dharmaraja Chet 1st Class Magistrate Chitaldroog, as having been found in the course of detection of the theft. Of these, articles 1 to 4 were forfeited to Government as they were proved to be left by the

Articles 5 to 21 were returned to the Archak on behalf of the temple. Article 22 (stones) were thrown away and the rest were ordered to be given back to the persons from whom they had been taken, as there was no proof that they were the temple property. With all their endeavours, the Police did not succeed in tracing the culprits.

(3) Another complaint is that the allowances of the temple have been withheld eversince theft occured in the temple. The allegation is no doubt true; but the stopage of the allowance has been ordered neither by me nor by the Government. The Archak did not advance his claims during the first few months after the occurance of theft, and when he presented himself later on, the matter was delayed in the Taluk Office without payment being made. The temple is endowed with a small cash grant of Rs 7-4-0 per annum. As I learn that puja is being properly done in the temple, the allowances may be ordered to be disbursed every month, as was the case prior to the theft.

(4) Lastly, the petitioner complains that much inconvenience is caused by the location of the Sub-Registry Office in the upstairs of the Mahadwara of the temple. The Sub-Registry Office has since been removed to the new building rented for the taluk Office.

(5) In this connection, I beg to state that property worth Rs 1060 was stolen from the temple (as notified in the Police Gazette for March 05 pp. 13-14). According to the rules in force the Archak should be held responsible for the net loss. As already reported there was gross negligence of duty on the part of the Archak. It is reported that two persons, Sangappa and Ramanna have hypothecated their property as security for the custody of the temple property with the Archak. It is a matter for regret that this security bond is not found.

(6) Under these circumstances I have issued orders to the Amildar to call on the Archak to make good the loss of Rs 1060, and in default to remove him from the archakship and recommend

separate persons for the office.

The vernacular correspondence on the subject is herewi submitted.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,

Chitaldrug District.

By Order,

6/7/1910

For off g: Secretary

12/7/10 No 29[illegible]

Copy of the above is forwarded to Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldurg District with reference to his letter No. 434 dated 19th May 1910.

The Deputy Commissioners proposal to disburse monthly, the allowance due to the temple is ~~approved~~ sanctioned. If any arrears are due, a bill for the same should be submitted.

As the Respondt about the Theft make it clear that it was due to the gross negligence of the archak, Government approve of the Deputy Commissioners action in calling upon the archak to make good to the temple the Value of

The

the property lost viz Rs 1030. Steps should be taken for the early recovery of this amount.

The Kumaradu Records are hereunto stitched

By Order

6/7/1910

for off: Secretary

2/7/10